## CORRECTED

## In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters No. 22-1632V

AMY WATKINS,

Petitioner.

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: April 29, 2025

Matthew K. Libby, Monaghan Libby, LLP, Portland, ME, for Petitioner.

Felicia Langel, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

## **DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS**<sup>1</sup>

On November 2, 2022, Amy Watkins filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine Administration as the result of an influenza vaccine which she received on September 29, 2021. Petition, ECF No. 1. On July 22, 2024, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 30.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc">https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc</a>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$15,075.37 (representing \$14,026.50 in fees plus \$1,048.87 in costs). Application for Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed January 17, 2025, ECF No. 35. Furthermore, Petitioner filed a signed statement representing that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 35-1.

Respondent reacted to the motion on January 18, 2025, reporting that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-4, ECF No. 37. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. Petitioner has requested that I endorse the hourly rate of \$295.00 for work performed by attorney Matthew K. Libby and the hourly rate of \$200.00 for work performed by associate attorney Allyson L. Knowles for their time billed in the 2022-24 timeframe. ECF No. 36. I find the proposed rates to be reasonable and shall be adopted herein. And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred.

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 36-2. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of \$15,075.37 (representing \$14,026.50 in fees plus \$1,048.87 in costs) to be paid through an ACH deposit to Petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.<sup>3</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.

2